

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO.           | FILING DATE                  | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR  | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/722,654                | 11/26/2003                   | Thomas James Hatcher  | 350-027US           | 7657             |
| 22897<br>DEMONT & B       | 7590 09/11/200<br>REYER, LLC | 7                     | EXAMINER            |                  |
| 100 COMMONS WAY, Ste. 250 |                              | . · GARLAND, STEVEN R |                     |                  |
| HOLMDEL, NJ 07733         |                              |                       | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                           |                              |                       | 2125                |                  |
|                           |                              |                       |                     | ·                |
|                           |                              |                       | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|                           |                              |                       | 09/11/2007          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| 6 | <u>₹</u> | > |  |
|---|----------|---|--|
|   |          |   |  |
|   |          |   |  |
|   |          |   |  |
|   |          |   |  |
|   |          |   |  |

|  | •   |  | $\mathcal{C}$ |
|--|---|--|---------------|
|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |               |
|  | 10/722,654  | HATCHER ET AL.   |               |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner  | Art Unit   |               |
|  | Steven R. Garland   | 2125   |               |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap<br>Period for Reply  | opears on the cover sheet with  | the correspondence address   |               |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA<br>.136(a). In no event, however, may a rep<br>d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH<br>tte, cause the application to become ABAI | ATION.  ly be timely filed  IS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |               |
| Status   |   |  |               |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26   | November 2003 and 12 Marc   | <u>h 2004</u> .  |               |
| 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) Th   | is action is non-final.   | •  |               |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allow<br>closed in accordance with the practice under  |   |  |               |
| Disposition of Claims  |   |  |               |
| 4) ⊠ Claim(s) 34-37 and 39-53 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest solution of the above claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ⊠ Claim(s) 34-37 and 39-53 are subject to rest  | awn from consideration.   | ment.  |               |
| Application Papers   |   |  |               |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir   | ner.  |  |               |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>12 March 2004</u> is/are:  |   | cted to by the Examiner.   |               |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the  |   |  |               |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the I   |   |  |               |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   |  |               |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig   | an priority under 35 U.S.C. §   | 119(a)-(d) or (f).   |               |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume   |   |  |               |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority docume   |   | plication No.  |               |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr  | iority documents have been r  |  |               |
| application from the International Bure  |   |  |               |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a lis  | st of the certified copies not re   | eceivea.   |               |
| Attachment(s)  | _   |  |               |
| <ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> </ol>   |   | mmary (PTO-413)<br>/Mail Date  |               |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)   |   | ormal Patent Application   |               |

Application/Control Number: 10/722,654 Page 2

Art Unit: 2125

## Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 1-33 and 38 have been cancelled. Claims 34-37 and 39-53 are pending.

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 34-37,39-48 and 50-53, drawn to operating a single channel reformatter by specifying a group of source to destination links for execution, classified in class 700, subclass 56.
  - II. Claim 49, drawn to cleaning and operating a single channel formatter, classified in class 700, subclass 56.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

3. Inventions II and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination does not require specifying a group of source to destination links for execution. The subcombination has separate utility such as use in a system in which a liquid cleaning dispenser accompanies the single channel and does not require movement to a particular station.

The examiner has required restriction between combination and subcombination inventions. Where applicant elects a subcombination, and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in

Art Unit: 2125

accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

- 4. Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these inventions listed in this action are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious search and examination burden if restriction were not required because one or more of the following reasons apply:
  - (a) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification;
  - (b) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter;
  - (c) the inventions require a different field of search (for example, searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries);
  - (d) the prior art applicable to one invention would not likely be applicable to another invention;
  - (e) the inventions are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete <u>must</u> include (i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement

Art Unit: 2125

may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention.

If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

5. A telephone call was made to Mr. Breyer on 8/30/07 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the

Art Unit: 2125

requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

- 6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven R. Garland whose telephone number is 571-272-3741. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

Art Unit: 2125

Page 6

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Leo Picard can be reached on 571-272-3749. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

L-P. Port

Steven R Garland Examiner Art Unit 2125

ŀ

LEO PICARD SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100